

Productivity, Grain Protein and Economics of Moth bean Genotypes as Influenced by Spacing and Organics under Dry land Areas

S.N.O. Sadashivanagowda^{*1}, S.C. Alagundagi², B.T. Nadagouda³, A.N. Bagali⁴ and C.M. Poleshi⁵

^{1,2,3}Department of Agronomy, ⁴Department of Horticulture,

⁵Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry

University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad-580 005, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding Author E-mail: sadayogikrishi@gmail.com

Received: 19.02.2017 | Revised: 26.02.2017 | Accepted: 27.02.2017

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to study the productivity, grain protein and economics of moth bean [*Vigna aconitifolia* (Jacq.) Marechel] genotypes as influenced by spacing and organics under dry land areas during kharif 2013 in Northern dry zone of Karnataka. The moth bean local variety at spacing of 45 cm x 10 cm with application of 2.5 t FYM per ha produced significantly higher pods per plant (78.73), total dry matter at harvest (95.74 g plant⁻¹), branches per plant (8.73), higher seed yield (983 kg ha⁻¹), haulm yield (4886 kg ha⁻¹), net returns (Rs.42425 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (4.01) compared to other interactions. Higher nitrogen and protein content (3.90 and 24.41 %, respectively) was obtained with genotype MBS-27 at 45 cm x 10 cm spacing with application of 2.5 t FYM per ha. It can be concluded from the study that for dry land areas, moth bean local variety can be recommended at the spacing of 45 cm x 10 cm with 2.5 t FYM per ha application for higher yield, productivity and economic returns.

Key words: Moth bean, organics, spacing, productivity, grain protein and economics

INTRODUCTION

Moth bean [*Vigna aconitifolia* (Jacq.) Marechel] is an important pulse crop of arid and semi-arid regions of India. It has multi-uses and adapts to extremes or uncongenial ecological niches particularly, in areas receiving fewer rains with erratic distribution. In India, crop is extensively grown in Rajasthan mainly as a mixed crop with cotton, sorghum and other pulses. It is

generally consumed as a rich source of protein and is mostly consumed by low-income consumers in rural areas. In India, it is grown on an area of 13.19 lakh ha, mostly confined to Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Punjab, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra and Karnataka with a production of 1,753 lakh t and productivity of 133 kg per ha¹⁴. It is a hot weather, drought resistant legume.

Cite this article: Sadashivanagowda, S.N.O., Alagundagi, S.C., Nadagouda, B.T., Bagali, A.N. and Poleshi, C.M., Productivity, Grain Protein and Economics of Moth bean Genotypes as Influenced by Spacing and Organics under Dry land Areas, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 5(1): 537-542 (2017). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.2613>

The densely matted branches, which grow horizontally and have deeply notched leaflets on long leaf branches. It thus helps greatly in the conservation of soil, water and serve as a very efficient and suitable cover crop for checking soil erosion. The lower productivity of this crop is attributed to several factors *viz.*, growing the crop under moisture stress, marginal lands with very low inputs and without pest and disease management, non-availability of high yielding varieties and late sowing. Choosing the proper genotypes, optimum plant population and organic source are the major factors for better yield. Hence, there is a scope for improving the production potential of this crop by adopting the improved varieties, optimum plant population and use of organic manures *viz.*, farm yard manure, vermi compost and poultry manure. Keeping these points in view, the study was conducted with the following objectives.

1. To study the interaction effect of moth bean genotypes, spacing and organics for productivity and grain quality.
2. To study the economics of the system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at College of Agriculture, Vijayapura in Northern dry zone of Karnataka (at latitude 16° 49' N, longitude 75° 43' E and altitude of 593 m above MSL) during *kharif* 2013. It was laid out in Randomised Complete Block Design with factorial concept and replicated thrice. There were 14 treatments including 12 treatment combinations involving three moth bean genotypes (MBS-27, BJMB-1 and local), two spacing (30 cm x 10 cm and 45 cm x 10 cm) and two organics (2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM and 1 t ha⁻¹ vermi compost) along with two controls (local variety at 30 cm x 10 cm spacing with 10:20 kg N:P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and local variety at 30 cm x 10 cm spacing with 10:20:10 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O ha⁻¹). The soil of the experimental site was medium black clay loam having normal pH of 8.45 recorded by using

Buckman's pH meter¹³ and EC of 0.18 dSm⁻¹ estimated by using conductivity bridge⁸ and was low in available nitrogen (166 kg ha⁻¹) as analysed by using Alkaline permanganate method¹⁶, medium in available phosphorus with 30 kg ha⁻¹ assessed through Olsen's method¹⁵ and was high in available potassium (364 kg ha⁻¹) estimated by flame photometer¹⁵. The seed rate of 15 kg per ha was used for sowing. Organic and inorganic fertilizers were applied as per treatments at the time of sowing. The necessary after care operations were attended to keep the field free from weeds as and when required. The crude protein was estimated by multiplying the nitrogen content of seeds with factor 6.25⁵. Five plants were randomly selected from each net plot and tagged for recording growth, yield and quality parameters at regular intervals and data were subjected to the statistical analysis as described by Gomez and Gomez⁶ using Dry soft ICRISAT software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The moth bean local variety at spacing of 45 cm X 10 cm with application of 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM recorded significantly higher number of branches at harvest (T₁₁, 8.73 plant⁻¹, Table 1) over other interactions and control treatments but was on par with MBS-27 with 45 cm x 10 cm + 1 t ha⁻¹ vermi compost (T₄, 8.50 plant⁻¹). At 70 DAS, the interaction effect of leaf area index due to genotypes, spacing and organics did not differ significantly. Many studies also revealed that closer spacing may cause mutual shading, lodging and insect pest infestation due to more intra-specific competition^{4,18}. Optimum plant density ensures the plant to grow properly with their aerial and underground parts by utilizing more solar radiation and soil nutrients¹². The local variety at spacing of 45 cm X 10 cm with application of 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM recorded significantly higher number of nodules (T₁₁, 10.26 plant⁻¹) and it was on par with T₄(10.06 plant⁻¹) and T₂ (9.73 plant⁻¹) compared to other interactions and

control treatments. Control-1 (7.76 plant⁻¹) and Control-2 (8.20 plant⁻¹) treatments were on par with each other. This might be because, under higher plant population, the roots were having lesser number of nodules with smaller size having less fresh weight. Increase in the plant population might have created the competitive conditions and the plant roots couldn't proliferate in the soil profile³. At harvest, significantly higher total dry matter accumulation in plant was recorded with local variety at 45 cm X 10 cm with 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM (T₁₁, 95.74 g plant⁻¹) and it was on par with T₄ (89.62 g plant⁻¹) and T₃ (87.12 g plant⁻¹) compared to other interactions and control treatments. However, the interaction T₇ recorded significantly lower total dry matter accumulation (52.34 g plant⁻¹). Among the control treatments, control-1 (76.36 g plant⁻¹) and control-2 (78.91 g plant⁻¹) were on par with each other. It was observed that higher dry matter accumulation under adequate plant spacing and optimum plant population per unit area resulted in good yield with more interception of solar radiation. Significantly higher number of pods was recorded with T₁₁ (78.73 plant⁻¹) and it was on par with T₄ (76.70 plant⁻¹) compared to other interactions and control treatments. Significantly lower number of pods was noticed with control-1 (71.66 plant⁻¹). The local variety at 45 cm X 10 cm with 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM recorded significantly higher seed yield (T₁₁, 983 kg ha⁻¹) and it was on par with T₄ (946 kg ha⁻¹) and T₁₀ (846 kg ha⁻¹) compared to other interactions and control treatments. The interaction T₆ recorded significantly lower seed yield (386 kg ha⁻¹). The local variety at 45 cm X 10 cm with 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM recorded significantly higher haulm yield (T₁₁, 4886 kg ha⁻¹) and it was on par with T₄ (4372 kg ha⁻¹) compared to other interactions and control treatments. Numerically higher harvest index was found with genotype MBS-27 at 30 cm X 10 cm with 1 t ha⁻¹ vermi compost (T₂, 21.76 %),

compared to other interactions and control treatments. This increase in seed yield was due to significantly higher performance of growth and yield parameters *viz.*, branches per plant (8.73), leaf area index at 70 DAS (7.17), nodules per plant (10.26), total dry matter production at harvest (95.74 g plant⁻¹) and pods per plant (78.73). These results are in conformity with the findings of Mohan Lal and Dhirendra Singh¹¹ in mung bean, Kumar¹⁰ in moth bean, Taipodia and Nabam¹⁷, Helmy *et al*⁷, in cowpea and Yadav *et al*²⁰, in moth bean, Arun kumar and Uppar² and Yadav¹⁹ in moth bean.

Crude protein and nitrogen content in seeds did not show significant difference due to genotypes, spacing and organics. However, numerically higher crude protein content was recorded in MBS-27 at 45 cm x 10 cm with 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM (24.41 %, Table 2) compared to other interactions and control treatments. This increase was due to higher nitrogen content in seeds (3.90 %). These findings are in line with the results of Alam Mondal *et al*¹, in lentil, Yadav *et al*²⁰, in moth bean and Arun kumar and Uppar² in moth bean. Significantly higher gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio was obtained with the interaction of local variety at spacing of 45 cm x 10 cm with application of 2.5 t ha⁻¹ FYM (T₁₁, Rs.56495 ha⁻¹, Rs.42425 ha⁻¹ and 4.01, respectively) and it was on par with T₄ (Rs.53891 ha⁻¹, Rs.37696 ha⁻¹ and 3.32, respectively) compared to other interactions and control treatments. This was mainly due to significantly higher seed yield and haulm yield recorded with local variety, wider row spacing and FYM. These results are in conformity with the findings of Krishna D.K⁹. The interaction of genotype BJMB-1 at spacing of 30 cm x 10 cm with application of 1 t ha⁻¹ vermi compost recorded significantly lower gross returns, net returns and benefit cost ratio (T₆, Rs. 22804 ha⁻¹, Rs. 07089 ha⁻¹ and 1.44, respectively).

Table 1: Productivity of moth bean genotypes as influenced by spacing and organics under dry land areas

Treatment	Branches plant ⁻¹ at harvest	Leaf Area Index at 70 DAS	Nodules plant ⁻¹ at 40 DAS	Total dry matter at harvest (g plant ⁻¹)	Pods plant ⁻¹	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Haulm yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Harvest Index (%)
T ₁ : MBS-27 with 30 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	7.96	6.55	8.96	47.58	73.90	530	3214	14.17
T ₂ : MBS-27 with 30 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	7.80	7.13	9.73	63.14	74.56	823	2957	21.76
T ₃ : MBS-27 with 45 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	7.50	6.41	8.33	87.12	73.60	426	2442	14.92
T ₄ : MBS-27 with 45 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	8.50	7.43	10.06	89.62	76.70	946	4372	17.91
T ₅ : BJMB-1 with 30 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	7.76	6.16	8.50	81.80	73.86	510	3343	13.23
T ₆ : BJMB-1 with 30 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	7.30	6.50	8.40	75.64	73.76	386	2314	17.37
T ₇ : BJMB-1 with 45 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	7.56	6.53	7.76	52.34	74.30	656	2186	17.40
T ₈ : BJMB-1 with 45 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	7.93	6.42	8.40	77.08	74.00	600	3086	18.44
T ₉ : Local variety with 30 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	7.83	6.80	8.83	76.90	74.70	673	3857	11.73
T ₁₀ : Local variety with 30 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	7.86	6.99	9.06	79.68	74.46	846	3986	16.07
T ₁₁ : Local variety with 45 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	8.73	7.17	10.26	95.74	78.73	983	4886	16.73
T ₁₂ : Local variety with 45 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	7.40	6.73	8.00	82.68	72.76	683	2957	18.79
T ₁₃ : Control-1	7.16	6.48	7.76	76.36	71.66	526	2571	17.08
T ₁₄ : Control-2	7.46	6.90	8.20	78.91	73.33	503	2957	09.27
SEm ±	0.19	0.21	0.30	3.46	0.88	46	205	1.67
CD (P=0.05)	0.56	NS	0.88	10.15	2.59	135	602	NS

Note: Control 1- local variety with RDF (10:20:00 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O ha⁻¹) at 30 cm x 10cm spacing

Control 2- local variety with 10:20:10 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O ha⁻¹ at 30 cm x 10cm spacing

FYM- Farm yard manure, DAS – Days after sowing, NS – Non significant

Table 2: Grain protein and economics of cultivation of moth bean genotypes as influenced by spacing and organics under dry land areas

Treatment	Nitrogen (%)	Protein content (%)	Gross returns (Rs.ha ⁻¹)	Net returns (Rs.ha ⁻¹)	Benefit cost ratio (B:C)
T ₁ : MBS-27 with 30 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	3.88	24.28	31322	17347	2.23
T ₂ : MBS-27 with 30 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	3.89	24.33	45602	29487	2.82
T ₃ : MBS-27 with 45 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	3.90	24.41	24997	11102	1.79
T ₄ : MBS-27 with 45 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	3.85	24.08	53891	37696	3.32
T ₅ : BJMB-1 with 30 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	3.68	23.01	30515	16620	2.19
T ₆ : BJMB-1 with 30 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	3.74	23.37	22804	07089	1.44
T ₇ : BJMB-1 with 45 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	3.72	23.26	36112	22057	2.56
T ₈ : BJMB-1 with 45 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	3.82	23.91	34629	18754	2.17
T ₉ : Local variety with 30 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	3.74	23.37	39453	25623	2.84
T ₁₀ : Local variety with 30 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	3.74	23.41	48312	32422	3.03
T ₁₁ : Local variety with 45 cm x10 cm + 2.5 t ha ⁻¹ FYM	3.81	23.81	56495	42425	4.01
T ₁₂ : Local variety with 45 cm x10 cm + 1 t ha ⁻¹ vermi compost	3.71	23.42	38602	22872	2.44
T ₁₃ : Control-1	3.83	23.97	30190	12273	1.68
T ₁₄ : Control-2	3.51	21.89	39602	21457	2.17
SEm ±	0.09	0.58	2407	2407	0.16
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	7059	7059	0.48

Note: Control 1- local variety with RDF (10:20:00 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O ha⁻¹) at 30 cm x 10cm spacing

Control 2- local variety with 10:20:10 kg N:P₂O₅:K₂O ha⁻¹ at 30 cm x 10cm spacing

FYM- Farm yard manure, DAS – Days after sowing, NS – Non significant

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that moth bean local variety at spacing of 45 cm X 10 cm with 2.5 t FYM ha⁻¹ produced significantly higher seed yield (983 kg ha⁻¹), crude protein content (24.41 %), net returns (Rs.42425 ha⁻¹) and benefit cost ratio (4.01) under Northern dry zone of Karnataka.

REFERENCES

1. Alam Mondal, M.M., Adam, B.P., Malek, M.A., Roy, S. and Yusop, M.R., Contribution of morpho-physiological traits on yield of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). *Australian J. Crop Res.*, **7**: 1167-1172 (2013).
2. Arun kumar, S.H. and Uppar, D.S., Influence of integrated nutrient management on seed yield and quality of moth bean [*Vigna aconitifolia* (Jacq.) Marechal]. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.*, **20**: 394-396 (2007).
3. Bilal Ahmad Lone, Badrul Hasan, S. Ansar and Khanday, B.A., Effect of seed rate, row spacing and fertility levels on growth and nutrient uptake of soybean (*Glycine max.* L.) under temperate conditions. *ARPJ. Agric. Biol. Sci.*, **4** (2009).
4. Bond, J.A., Walker, T.W., Bollich, P.K., Koger, C.H. and Gerard, P., Seeding rates for stale seed bed rice production in the mid southern United States. *Agron. J.*, **97**: 1560–1563 (2005).
5. Food and Agriculture Organisation: Food energy – Methods of analysis and conversion factor, Rome, p.57 (2003).
6. Gomez, K.M. and Gomez, A.A., *Statistical Procedures for Agricultural*

- Research, Edition 2, John Wiley, New York (1984).
7. Helmy, A.A., Hend, H.M., Hassan and Hoda, I.M., Influence of planting density and bio-nitrogen fertilization on productivity of cowpea. *American-Eurasian J. Agric. Environ. Sci.*, **15**: 1953-1961 (2015).
 8. Jackson, M.L., *Soil and Chemical Analysis*, Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi. p.362 (1967).
 9. Krishna, D.K., Effect of time of sowing, spacing and seed rate on Seed production potentiality and quality of fodder Cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp] . *M.Sc.(Agri.) Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad. (2006).
 10. Kumar, D., New plant type with unbranched growth habit in moth bean. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, **15**: 179-180 (2002).
 11. Mohan Lal and Dharendra Singh, Utilization of genetic diversity and its association characters in mung bean [*Vigna radiata* (L.)Wilczek]. *Legume Res.*, **37**: 679-681 (2014).
 12. Mondal, M.M.A., Puteh, A.B., Ismail, M.R., Rafii, M.Y., Optimizing plant spacing for modern rice varieties. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, **15**: 175-178 (2013).
 13. Piper, C.S., *Soil and Plant Analysis*, Academic Press, New York (1996).
 14. Rajendra Prasad, *Text book of food grains production*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi (2013).
 15. Sparks, *Methods of Soil Analysis Part-3: Chemical methods*. Soil Science Society of America, USA (1996).
 16. Subbiah, B.Y. and Asija, G.L., A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Sci.*, **25**: 259-260 (1956).
 17. Taipodia, R. and Nabam, A.T., Impact of time of sowing, spacing and seed rate on potential seed production and fodder quality of cowpea [*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.]. *J. Agric. Veterinary Sci.*, **4**: 61-68 (2013).
 18. Tan, P.S., Khuong, T.Q. and Hoai, N.T., Low cost technologies for rice production in the Mekong delta. *Proceedings of the Paper presented at National Workshop*, September 21– 23. Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, p.14 (2000).
 19. Yadav, B.D., Gupta, P.P., Joshi, U.N. and Yadav, J.S., Studies on sowing time, row spacing and seed rate in moth bean [*Vigna aconitifolia* (Jacq.) Marechal]. *Legumes in dry Areas*. Indian arid legume society, 280-285 (2009).
 20. Yadav, D.S., *Pulse crops (Production technology)*. Kalyani publishers, New Delhi, pp: 172-178 (1992).